



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/624,778	07/25/2000	Fred S. Cook	1399	7227

28004 7590 07/29/2003
SPRINT
6391 SPRINT PARKWAY
KSOPHT0101-Z2100
OVERLAND PARK, KS 66251-2100

EXAMINER

NAJJAR, SALEH

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2157

DATE MAILED: 07/29/2003

6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/624,778

Applicant(s)

COOK, FRED S.

Examiner

Saleh Najjar

Art Unit

2157

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 04 March 2003.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1, 10, 29 and 41-58 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1, 10, 29 and 41-58 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 04 March 2003 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
- Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on _____ is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.
- If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.
- 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

- 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).
- a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
- 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). _____
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _____ 6) ☐ Other:

Art Unit: 2157

1. This action is responsive to the amendment filed on March 4, 2003. Claims 2-9, 11-18, 19-23, 24-28, and 30-40 were canceled. Claims 1, 10, and 29 were amended. Claims 41-58 were newly added. Claims 1, 10, 29, and 41-58 are pending. Claims 1, 10, 29, and 41-58 represent method program and apparatus directed toward scheduled alias resolution.

2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

3. Claims 1, 10, 29, 41, 43-46, 47, 49-52, 53, and 55-58 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Alkhatib, U.S. Patent No. 6,430,623.

Alkhatib teaches the invention substantially as claimed including a Domain Name Routing system that uses the Domain Name to route data sent to a destination on a network (see abstract).

As to claim 1, Alkhatib teaches a method of operating an access system including an access server to provide access between a user system and a plurality of communication networks that provide services to a user, the method comprising:

receiving in the access server a user data packet from the user system with the user data packet including a destination Domain Name (see col. 10, Alkhatib discloses that a user wishing to send a data packet to a destination uses the domain name of the destination as the destination address);

translating the user data packet into a network data packet by replacing the destination alias with a destination network address that relates to a selected one communication network of the plurality of communication networks; and routing the network data packet to the selected one communication network based on the

destination network address, wherein the translating enables communication of the user network packet to the selected one communication network without inclusion of the destination network address in the user data packet (see col. 12-14, Alkhatib discloses that a Domain Name Router extracts the Domain Name and translates to a an IP address then routes it to the destination).

Alkhatib does not explicitly teach the limitation of an "alias".

However, Alkhatib teaches domain name routing which uses a prefix naming system to signify the name, organization, or identity of the network (see col. 1-3).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Alkhatib by specifying the domain names as aliases since the same functionality of simplifying network addresses is achieved.

As to claims 41, and 43, Alkhatib teaches the method of claim 1 further comprising translating the user data packet according to an domain name schedule, the domain name schedule being configurable (see col. 13, lines 50-55, Alkhatib discloses that a domain name table is configurable and can be set up manually or automatically by an administrator).

As to claim 44, Alkhatib teaches the method of claim 1 further comprising:
generating and transmitting a translation request including the destination alias to a database system; and receiving a reply from the database system, with the reply including the destination network address (see col. 13, Alkhatib discloses that the host transmits a translation request to a DNR).

As to claim 45, Alkhatib teaches the method of claim 44 further comprising storing the destination network address in an alias schedule (see col. 13, Alkhatib discloses a configurable DNR table).

As to claim 46, Alkhatib teaches the method of claim 44 wherein the generating and transmitting are performed in response to a determination that an alias translation does not exist in an alias schedule (see col. 13).

Claims 10, 29, 47, 49-52, 53, and 55-58 do not teach or define any new limitations above claims 1, 41, 43-46 and therefore are rejected for similar reasons.

4. Claims 42, 48, and 54 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Alkhatib, U.S. Patent No. 6,430,623 further in view of Voit, U.S. Patent No. 6,282,574.

Alkhatib teaches the invention substantially as claimed including a Domain Name Routing system that uses the Domain Name to route data sent to a destination on a network (see abstract).

As to claim 42, Alkhatib teaches the method of claim 41.

Alkhatib does not explicitly teach the claimed limitation wherein the alias schedule selects an alias translation according to a current time.

However, Voit teaches an enhanced name translation server for use on a data packet network where conditional analysis is executed in response to name translation queries (see abstract). Voit teaches the limitation wherein the alias schedule selects an alias translation according to a current time (see col. 9, Voit discloses that name translation depends on the time the translation request is received).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Alkhatib in view of Voit so that time based name translation is performed. One would be motivated to do so to allow a destination user to receive communications destined to the user at different network connection points.

Claims 48, and 54 do not teach or define any new limitations above claim 42 and therefore are rejected for similar reasons.

5. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

Art Unit: 2157

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Saleh Najjar whose telephone number is (703) 308-7613. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 6:30 to 3:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, *Ario Etienne*, can be reached on (703) 308-7562. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 308-9052.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-9600. The fax number for the After-Final correspondence/amendment is (703) 746-7238. The fax number for official correspondence/amendment is (703) 746-7239. The fax number for Non-official draft correspondence/amendment is (703) 746-7240.



Saleh Najjar

Primary Examiner / Art Unit 2157